

Meeting called to order: Meeting start time 2:00 p.m. Sign-in sheet provide

Distribution of Handouts:

- Agenda

Meeting Notification: “This is being recorded to assist in the generation of meeting minutes and as a record document of the Site Committee meetings”

April Agenda

Listed below are the actions that have taken place since the last meeting:

- Update the committee of the findings during the departmental meetings that have taken place over the last four weeks related to the prioritization of the requested and required modernization work.
- LPA to perform a visual presentation of all the information discussed with each of the departments based on their best understanding and interpretations of all the collective data to date.
- Address findings associated with athletics, the new softball and lacrosse field, the multi-use concession/storage/media station, basketball and tennis hard courts, etc.
- Address the modifications to the courtyard in building A, the Lawn, Heath Avenue at the entry level and the Bridge concepts.
- Address relocation of Maintenance and Operation and their facility programming needs.

Summary Statements:

- Arrangements will be made by the Program Manager to review the presentations to highlight the importance of campus organization, flow of students, accessibility of administrative staff and options.

Summary of Discussions/Recommendations: Athletic Departmental Meeting (mtg #1A):

Summary of findings during the Athletics meeting, including what has changed and what remains the same as defined in the Master Plan:

- To relocate the weight room to the proposed new Entry Building, current weight room space is required to properly accommodate the education classroom space necessary due to the P.E. program changes.
- Address the access issues at the lower level of Building F, athletic offices need to be better addressed, site visibility needs to be addressed, the lower floors of the locker room would need to be gutted and redesigned to address proper flow and needed supervision.

- Evaluate the proposed corridor at Building E will address sightlines into locker rooms with vestibules, etc.
- Shorting the north end of the track will allow for the relocation of the proposed new three story concession building to be relocated east allowing for the softball field to be shifted east for the amount of needed space for the lacrosse / soft ball field.

Committee Recommendation:

1. It is important that a sound system be added to the outside of the athletic facilities to properly communicate between all the sports fields and the proposed new press box for communication purposes.
2. Need an access road from the proposed new Maintenance and Operation area to the main campus to be used by small carts and campus use vehicles, not to be used for every day traffic use.
3. A committee member suggested that the donor bricks to be re-used in the softball area.

Athletic Department Comments, Question and Answers:

- None

Summary of Discussions/Recommendations: Heath Avenue and the Courtyard Design Concepts

Heath Avenue transformation of architectural proposed plan.

- LPA has proposed the use of permeable pavers to help reduce water runoff.
- LPA to provide additional shading with the use of trees and bushes in most courtyard areas.
- LPA design concepts to utilize tables and chairs in presentations as apposed to concrete seat walls or concrete benches.
- The reduction of one lane would be part of the addition to the promenade side walk giving a much larger walking space, with rolled curbs to allow for large emergency vehicles to pass through and access
- The possibility of creating a larger drop off point at the front of the school.
- In the lower courtyard they were interested in the use of synthetic turf wedges, tables and chairs, flexible spaces and the removal of the vending machines.

- In the upper courtyard additional landscaping and shading to be added along with lowering the senior terrace and adding additional seating.
- On the graduation lawn LPA has proposed two concepts: the first is to install a fence at the base of the slope with a gate at the bottom of the staircase, the second is a guard rail and a gate at the top of the staircase.

Committee Recommendation:

1. None of the committee members expressed any interest in either of the two proposed gate designs for the safety and security of the graduation lawn.
2. The committee has requested that additional power outlets be added to the graduation lawn.
3. The committee wanted to know if there was sufficient funding to address the proposed landscape design concepts.

Heath Avenue and Courtyard designs: Comments, Question and Answers:

- In reference to committee recommendation questions number 3, the program manager indicated that the work would proceed forward based on the proper classification and prioritization outlined in the master plan which is all contingent upon the board's approval.

Summary of Discussions/Recommendations: Maintenance and Operation Building:

Maintenance and Operation building:

- LPA presented the locations of the Maintenance and Operation Building, its operational functions, a means of access into the facility and back to the campus.

Committee Recommendation:

- 1 None

Maintenance and Operation, Comments, Question and Answers:

- None

Summary of Discussions/Recommendations: Main Bridge Renovation

- LPA has proposed reducing the width of the existing bridge to allow for the addition of exterior windows adding daylight to classrooms in building A and B.

- The conversion of the bridge, which is currently occupied by administration and counseling will be proposed as the location for a new learning center, along with the implementation of technology in the space, would making this a multi functional student work area.

Committee Recommendation:

- 1 A committee member wanted to know if the design firm benefited from what may be viewed as above and beyond what is called for in the master plan concepts. The Program Management team then indicated that the architects are contracted to design the programs to fall with in the allotted budget and would be required to re-design at their own expense in the event the board budgets are exceeded.

Main Bridge Renovation Comments, Question and Answers:

- None

Summary of Discussions/Recommendations: Committee Organization, Flow Concepts and Priorities

- The committee was asked to vote on their priorities for proposed campus organization concepts. Each member was give a star and a dot, the star indicated priority one and the dot indicated priority two. Below you will find the prioritization results.
 1. Student services to be located with in equal distance of the academic programs and the athletic programs. (14 stars and 2 dots)
 2. The need for a single door entrance in to the campus off Moreno. (6 stars and 1 dot)
 3. Department classes to be close to one another. (1 star and 10 dots)
 4. The need for student service functions to be located together. (0 stars and 6 dots)
 5. Visitor parking to be located close to student services. (0 stars and 2 dots)

Committee Recommendation:

1. The results of the survey are listed above, design situations will be developed.

Committee Organization, Flow Concepts and Priorities Comments, Question and Answers:

- None

Architect Proposed Design Concepts Options:

Two options for the campus organization were presented to the site committee for comments and questioning.

Option One:

- Opportunities and Constraints (see attached work sheet for option 1)
 1. The placement of visitor parking is closer to student service
 2. The ability to located student services together in the proposed building M.
 3. The possibility of locating social studies together in to building B.
 4. The front door to the campus is closer to student services.
 5. To locate student services as close to the center of campus as possible but in actuality would be closer to athletics than academics.
 6. The program size requirements proposed for building M are approximately 40,000 sf.
 7. The only constraint would be that student services are central to campus (closer to academics than athletics).

Option Two:

- Opportunities and Constraints (see attached work sheet for option 2)
 1. The ability to locate student services together in building B.
 2. The ability to locate social studies together in building M.
 3. The placement of student services central to campus but closer to academics than athletics.
 4. The main entry door Is a good distance from student services
 5. The social studies department is a good distance from the humanities department.
 6. LPA has indicated that building B program may be impacted financially if the plan is to accommodate student services. The master plan contemplated maintaining classrooms in building B.
- Option one, was all inclusive, it address each of the departmental meeting concerns as prioritized in today's site committee meeting presentation. The greatest concern on the part of the site committee is that the proposed new entry building M is not close enough to buildings A / B, Science and Technology. Gensler will review solutions to move the administration closer to buildings B. The proposed recommendation on the part of LPA was that they were in favor of utilizing Option I.

Next meeting date

- Next meeting scheduled for Thursday, May 7, 2009 at 1::00 p.m. To be confirmed by email.

Meeting Adjourned

- Meeting end time 3:45 p.m.